

**GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
'Kamat Towers', Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji – Goa

---

**Appeal No.27/SIC/2017**

Mr. Bharat L. Candolkar,  
Vady Candolim,  
Bardez Goa.

..... Appellant

**V/s.**

1. Public Information Officer  
Mr. Sanjeev Joglekar, GCZMA,  
Porvorim Goa.

2. First Appellate Authority  
Member Secretary, GCZMA,  
Porvorim Bardez Goa.

..... Respondents

**CORAM:**

**Smt. Pratima K. Vernekar**, State Information Commissioner

**Filed on: 29/03/2017**

**Decided on:12/07/2017**

**ORDER**

1. The Appellant Shri Bharat kandolkar, by his application dated 23/12/2016 filed u/s 6(1) sought certain information from the Respondent No. 1 PIO of Goa Coastal Zone Management Authority (GCZMA), Porvorim, Bardez-Goa as stated therein in the said application
2. The said application was responded by the Respondent No. 1PIO on 6/1/2017, thereby calling upon the appellant for inspection of files .
3. Being not satisfied with the reply of the respondent No. 1 PIO and as no information was received by him from the Respondent PIO , the appellant preferred first appeal u/s 19(1) of the RTI Act on 3/2/17 with Member Secretary being first appellate authority who is the Respondent No.2 herein and the Respondent No. 2 First Appellate Authority by an order dated 20/1/2017 directed to put up the said issue before inquiry committee, and no directions were given by the Respondent No. 2 FAA to PIO for furnishing information.

4. The appellant by an application dated 9/2/2017 prayed to respondent NO. 2 FAA to pass detail order with direction to respondent No. 1 PIO for providing him inspection and certified copies of documents. No decision was given on his above application.
5. Being aggrieved by the action of both the respondents, the appellant has approached this commission by way of second appeal on 29/3/2017 filed u/s 19(3) of the RTI Act on the ground as setout in memo of appeal.
6. In the present appeal the appellant has sought for prayer for furnishing him correct and full information as sought by him by his application dated 23/12/2016 and for invoking penal sections against the Respondent .
7. After notifying the parties the matter was taken up for hearing . In pursuant to the notice the appellant was present alongwith Advocate Atish Mandrekar. Respondent PIO was represented by Shri Bhaskar Shinde .
8. In the course the hearing the information was furnished to the appellant vide letter dated 28/6/2017 by the Respondent No. 1 PIO and the appellant was directed to verify the said information. The Advocate for the appellant on 12/7/2017 submitted that his client has received the complete information as per his requirement and he further submitted that he is not pressing for penal provisions. Accordingly the appellant and his Advocate endorsed his say on the memo of appeal
9. In view of submission and endorsement made by the Advocate for the appellant, nothing survives to be decided in the present appeal. Appeal stands disposed accordingly .

Proceeding stands closed.

Sd/-

**(Ms.Pratima K. Vernekar)**

State Information Commissioner  
Goa State Information Commission,  
Panaji-Goa

10.